



4610 Littlejohn Street, Baldwin Park, CA 91706
(800) 321-5981, (626) 960-9822 Phone
(626) 960-8700 Fax
info@medinuclear.com
www.medinuclear.com

Comparison of Xenon and a Modified Nebulizer for Aerosol Ventilation in the Diagnosis of Pulmonary Embolus: Impact on the Intermediate Pioped Category

*M. C. Hyun, A. D. Waxman, A. D'Agnolo, R. Potter.
Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, L.A., CA, and Medi/Nuclear Corp., Baldwin Park, CA.*

Using the Pioped criteria for evaluation of suspected pulmonary embolism, 39% of patients in the initial study (J.A.M.A.) were assigned in intermediate category. The initial study utilized Xenon 133 for ventilation. A subsequent study (J Nucl Med 1995) using modified Pioped criteria and aerosol ventilation demonstrated a 17.4% assignment for the intermediate category. The purpose of the current study was to evaluate the intermediate category assignment in patients who underwent pre-perfusion Xenon or pre-perfusion aerosol imaging using the modified Pioped criteria.

Methods: 643 patients were evaluated using a modified nebulizer system requiring 2 minutes of tidal volume breathing followed by an 8-view lung study matching the perfusion study. The Xenon study was performed on 216 patients in the posterior position using 30 mCi Xenon 133 with initial breath holding, re-breathe and washout views. Perfusion was performed using 4 mCi of Tc-99m MAA.

Results: The intermediate assignment for the Xenon study group was 35.6% (77/216) while the aerosol study resulted in 22.1% (142/643) for the intermediate category. The difference was significant ($P=.00008$).

Conclusion: Using a new modified nebulizer with only 2 minutes of patient breathing, excellent results were obtained yielding an intermediate category of 22% which is in the accepted published peer review range.

Scientific Poster presented at the 46th Annual Meeting of the Society of Nuclear Medicine, Los Angeles, CA.

June 6-10, 1999